COVID-19 Infection Among Youth in Foster Care

Mary V. Greiner^{1,2}, MD, MS Alex Duncan², PhD Katie Nause³ Sarah J. Beal, PhD

¹Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH
²Division of General and Community Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH
³Division of Behavioral Medicine and Clinical Psychology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH

Abstract

Background: Foster youth may have increased exposure to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) due to transient living arrangements and crowding in congregate care settings.

Objective: To evaluate rates of acute COVID-19 infection and resolved COVID-19 infection by testing youth presenting for healthcare at a foster care clinic.

Participants and Setting: 390 youth in foster care presenting for healthcare at a foster care clinic.

Results: There were 16/648 (2%) positive tests for acute COVID-19 infection, administered to 369 youth. Six of 16 positive tests (38%) were obtained in asymptomatic youth. 207 of 390 youth enrolled received serology testing for COVID-19 and 42 (20%) were identified to have positive serology tests. There were no demographic or child welfare characteristics associated with having a positive test.

Conclusions: Screening for active COVID-19 infection in asymptomatic foster youth was very low yield.

Keywords: COVID-19, Foster Care, Child Welfare, Testing

Abbreviations: Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19); Electronic Health Record (EHR); Hamilton County Jobs and Family Services (HCJFS); Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC); Comprehensive Health Evaluations for Cincinnati's Kids (CHECK)

Introduction

There are over 400,000 children in child welfare protective custody (e.g. foster care) in the United States (Administration on Children, 2020). These youth have been uniquely impacted by their exposure to maltreatment, neglect, homelessness, domestic violence, and parental substance abuse (American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Foster Care, 2015). Youth in foster care are more likely to have acute and chronic health conditions compared with the general population (Chernoff et al., 1994; Greiner et al., 2017; Leslie et al., 2005; Stein et al., 2013). The foster care system can further exacerbate this health gap by creating barriers to access to healthcare (American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Foster Care, 2015; Greiner et al., 2015; US General Accounting Office, 1995).

Beginning in 2020, the United States faced a new threat to health and wellbeing in the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic (CDC, 2020a). The COVID-19 pandemic created a public health crisis for all Americans and had the potential to disproportionately impact the foster care system through increased contact with infected individuals due to transient living arrangements and overcrowding, particularly for the 10% of youth in foster care living in congregate care settings (Administration on Children, 2020).

COVID-19 infection monitoring for youth in foster care has been challenging. While the American Academy of Pediatrics published *Guidance for Children and Families involved with the Child Welfare System during the COVID-19 Pandemic* (2021), specific advice for COVID-19 screening for this population was not included. Similarly, the Children's Bureau urged vigilance and compliance with Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines, as well as instruction to child welfare agencies to develop processes to stay informed and make fact-based decisions; specific advice for testing youth in foster care was not provided (Children's Bureau, 2021).

The result was a variable response within and across jurisdictions (Ohio Department of Job and Family Services, n.d.). Some child welfare agencies chose to monitor for symptoms and test when symptoms were present, others chose to require COVID-19 laboratory screening prior to placement changes. A lack of clear and consistent policy resulted in variation in practice leading to burdens on some youth (i.e. waiting for a negative COVID-19 test) which may disproportionally have impacted youth with greater placement changes (i.e. older youth).

Despite potential risks and variable testing, infection rates in this population have not been described. This study sought to evaluate rates of acute COVID-19 infection and resolved COVID-19 infection by testing youth presenting for healthcare at a foster care clinic.

Methods

In 2020, 2,600 children were placed in protective custody and out-of-home care in Hamilton County, with an average of 1,892 children in care on any given day (Hamilton County Job and Family Services [HCJFS], 2021). Children in custody in Hamilton County range in age from 0-21 (42% < 7, 33% 13 +; HCJFS, 2021). Fifty percent are placed in non-relative foster homes, 26% in kinship homes, 11% in congregate care, and 8% in independent living placements (HCJFS, 2021).

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC) has contracted with HCJFS to provide state mandated healthcare for all foster youth through the Comprehensive Health Evaluations for Cincinnati Kids (CHECK) Foster Care Center (Greiner & Beal, 2018). The CHECK Center serves approximately 2,000 children ages 0 to 21 annually at 2 locations: Base Campus, in downtown Cincinnati, and Liberty Campus, in the suburban metro area. These youth live in multiple placement settings, including non-relative foster homes, kinship homes, group homes, and independent living. The CHECK Center provides comprehensive multidisciplinary healthcare, including preventive healthcare and chronic disease management when children enter foster care and with placement transitions. The CHECK Center's interdisciplinary approach to care delivery includes a dedicated social worker to support youth and caregivers in accessing services and interfacing with caseworkers, psychologists to provide brief integrated care and ongoing therapy via stand-alone appointments to children and families, and collaboration with psychiatrists and other specialists to address children's health concerns. Youth are seen within 5 business days of placement, return to the CHECK Center for follow-up in 30-60 days, and then are discharged to primary care until they experience another placement change or custody episode.

Per an existing arrangement with our child welfare organization, CCHMC's Institutional Review Board (IRB) provided a provisional approval for this study, which was finalized after a letter of support was obtained from HCJFS that included language indicating consent for youth in their custody to participate in the study. The CCHMC IRB then granted a waiver of written informed consent and assent. Youth 11-18 provided verbal assent prior to enrollment. Youth 18 and older provided their own verbal consent to participate.

At CHECK Center visits from December 2020-July 2021, a COVID-19 symptom checklist was collected, completed by caregivers for youth < 11 years of age and by the youth if 11 years or older. An anterior nasal swab was collected on all youth for molecular testing for direct identification of SARS-CoV-2 with the CDC 2019-novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT PCR assay. Clinical staff obtained swabs for youth < 11 years of age; 11 and older could self-swab. For youth receiving blood draws for a clinical indication, an additional 1 ml of blood was collected for SARS-CoV-2 serological assay. The presence of IgG antibodies specific to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein was used as presumptive evidence of a previous infection (CDC, 2020b).

Demographics and clinical data were collected via review of the Electronic Health Record (EHR) and child welfare-EHR data portal IDENTITY (Greiner et al., 2019), including any previous COVID-19 PCR results from CCHMC, maltreatment type, placement count, placement types (current and historical; non-relative foster care, kinship care, group home, residential care, and independent living), legal status (i.e. temporary vs. permanent custody), gender, and age. Due to the nature of this study, only descriptive univariate and bivariate statistics were examined.

Results

Participants (65% identified as Black, Indigenous, or people of color) ranged from 0.02 to 20.20 years of age; 53% identified as cisgender female, 46% identified as cisgender male, and 1% identified as transgender/non-binary (see Table 1).

There were 16/648 (2%) positive acute COVID-19 tests, administered to 369 youth (range of 1-6 tests per youth). Six of 16 positive tests (38%) were obtained in asymptomatic youth during CHECK Center screening; the remaining were obtained outside of the CHECK Center in response to a clinical indication, i.e. symptoms and/or close contact. Among asymptomatic screening tests at the CHECK Center, 6/403 (1.5%) tests were positive. Among clinically indicated COVID-19 tests, 10/229 (4.4%) were positive. There were no demographic or child welfare characteristics associated with having a positive test (see Table 2).

Two hundred and eleven of 390 youth enrolled received serology testing at least once for COVID-19 (range 1-2 tests). For youth with multiple tests, ever positive indicated positive serology. Four youth with only equivocal serology results were excluded. Of 207 remaining youth, 42 (20%) were identified to have positive serology tests. Increased age and history of placement in a group home was associated with positive serology testing. Demographic and other child welfare characteristics were not associated with positive serology tests (see Table 3).

Eleven youth (3%) reported they had received at least one COVID-19 vaccination.

Discussion

Population based seroprevalence studies on children in the general population have had conflicting results depending on timing during the pandemic, geographic location, and sampling practices (Siebach et al., 2021). Screening for active COVID-19 infection in asymptomatic youth in foster care was low yield (15 positives per 1000). Even with clinically-indicated testing, positivity rates (44 per 1000) remained low. However, antibody testing demonstrated that 20% of youth have experienced a COVID-19 infection. This disparity indicates that youth may not be seeking healthcare at the time of infection, due to low symptom burden or challenges obtaining testing when symptomatic. This study is the first to report rates of COVID-19 infection among asymptomatic foster youth and findings may be relevant to other at-risk populations.

Low positivity rates suggest that screening asymptomatic youth in foster care for placement changes may not be beneficial and could contribute to unnecessary delays when a change in placement has been deemed necessary. These delays may increase length of time to get children placed in appropriate placements thus prolonging placements that cannot meet a child's needs or delaying a more therapeutic placement. This may disproportionately impact older youth in foster care, who have more frequent placement changes, creating another challenge for the highest-risk group. In contrast, testing when clinically indicated is clearly justified.

While children have reduced COVID-19 susceptibility and infectivity compared to adults, it is clear that children can face morbidity and mortality (Lee & Raszka, 2021). Furthermore, COVID-19 infections, particularly those requiring hospitalization, are more common among children with underlying health conditions, such as chronic lung disease (including asthma; CDC, 2020). As youth in foster care are more likely to have these conditions (American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Foster Care, 2015), they may be at increased risk for morbidity and mortality. COVID-19 has had profound impacts on children outside of direct health impacts, including significant impacts on education (Masonbrink & Hurley, 2020) and mental illness (Shah et al., 2020). As foster youth already faced challenges in education and increased rates of mental illness, it is concerning

that the COVID-19 impact could be even greater for them. Finally COVID-19 had impacts on social services (American Academy of Pediatrics, 2021), which led to unique additional problems for this population including limitations on in-person visitation and delayed court dates with the potential for increased time to reunification and permanency.

Vaccination rates were low in this study. This may reflect attitudes towards vaccination in this population of youth and their families but could also reflect challenges related to obtaining consent for administration. This highlights the need for further research on vaccine policy and practice to protect children and youth in foster care.

Limitations in the study include 1) Limited sample to youth who assented to participate, although there is no reason to believe that youth who chose to participate were different than those who did not; 2) Known limits of laboratory sampling (CDC, 2020b) offering the possibilities of false positives and false negatives; and 3) generalizability, as this study was done in one county of one state. Further, this study was descriptive in nature and additional research is needed. As new variants of COVID-19 spread in the United States, positivity rates of COVID-19 testing may vary; however, this study demonstrates that it will be important to use data to guide policy around testing, particularly when it may delay placement.

Conclusion

This study suggests that screening asymptomatic youth for active COVID-19 as part of foster placement may not be beneficial. Understanding how a pandemic impacts youth in foster care is critical to identifying areas of opportunity for additional resources and support. Describing the transmission of COVID-19 infection in this population is the first step toward understanding the impact of COVID-19 on children in foster care and is critical for better preparation for another pandemic in the future. Scientific data must be utilized to drive policy-making with respect to health and placement decisions for youth in foster care.

Corresponding Author:

Mary V. Greiner, MD, MS
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics
3333 Burnet Avenue, ML 3008
Cincinnati, Ohio 45229
Telephone (513) 636-0057
Fax (513) 636-0204
Email mary.greiner@cchmc.org

Funding: Funding was secured from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health, under Award Number 1UL1TR001425-01 and Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. Dr. Beal's time was supported through NIH 1K01DA041620-01A1.

Financial Disclosure: The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

Administration on Children, Y. and F., Children's Bureau. (2020). *The AFCARS Report. Preliminary FY 2019 Estimates as of June 23, 2020.* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/afcarsreport26.pdf

American Academy of Pediatrics. (2021). Guidance for Children and Families Involved with the Child Welfare System During the COVID-19 Pandemic. http://www.aap.org/en/pages/2019-novel-coronavirus-covid-19-infections/clinical-guidance/guidance-for-children-and-families-involved-with-the-child-welfare-system-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/

- American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Foster Care, A., and Kinship Care, Committe on Adolescence, and Council on Early Childhood. (2015). Health Care Issues for Children and Adolescents in Foster Care and Kinship Care. *Pediatrics*, 136(4), e1131-40. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-2655
- CDC. (2020a, February 11). COVID-19 and Your Health. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/about-covid-19/basics-covid-19.html
- CDC. (2020b, February 11). *Labs*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/corona-virus/2019-ncov/lab/resources/antibody-tests-guidelines.html
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children—United States, February 12–April 2, 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 69. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6914e4
- Chernoff, R., Combs-Orme, T., Risley-Curtiss, C., & Heisler, A. (1994). Assessing the health status of children entering foster care. *Pediatrics*, *93*(4), 594–601.
- Children's Bureau. (2021). COVID-19 Resources. https://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/outreach-material/covid-19-resources
- Greiner, M. V., & Beal, S. J. (2018). Developing a Health Care System for Children in Foster Care. *Health Promotion Practice*, *19*(4), 621–628. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839917730045
- Greiner, M. V., Beal, S. J., Dexheimer, J. W., Divekar, P., Patel, V., & Hall, E. S. (2019). Improving information sharing for youth in foster care. *Pediatrics*, *144*(2).
- Greiner, M. V., Beal, S. J., Nause, K., Staat, M. A., Dexheimer, J. W., & Scribano, P. V. (2017). Laboratory Screening for Children Entering Foster Care. *Pediatrics*, *140*(6). https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-3778
- Greiner, M. V., Ross, J., Brown, C. M., Beal, S. J., & Sherman, S. N. (2015). Foster Caregivers' Perspectives on the Medical Challenges of Children Placed in Their Care: Implications for Pediatricians Caring for Children in Foster Care. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922814563925
- Hamilton County Job and Family Services. (2021). 2020 Annual Report. Hamilton County Job & Family Services. https://www.hcjfs.org/2020-annual-report/
- Lee, B., & Raszka, W. V., Jr. (2021). COVID-19 in Children: Looking Forward, Not Back. *Pediatrics*, *147*(1), e2020029736. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-029736
- Leslie, L. K., Gordon, J. N., Meneken, L., Premji, K., Michelmore, K. L., & Ganger, W. (2005). The physical, developmental, and mental health needs of young children in child welfare by initial placement type. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*, *26*(3), 177–185.
- Masonbrink, A. R., & Hurley, E. (2020). Advocating for Children During the COVID-19 School Closures. *Pediatrics*, 146(3). https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-1440
- Ohio Department of Job and Family Services. (n.d.). *Coronavirus and Children Services*. Retrieved June 16, 2022, from http://jfs.ohio.gov/childservices/
- Shah, K., Mann, S., Singh, R., Bangar, R., & Kulkarni, R. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on the Mental Health of Children and Adolescents. *Cureus*, 12(8). https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.10051
- Siebach, M. K., Piedimonte, G., & Ley, S. H. (2021). COVID-19 in childhood: Transmission, clinical presentation, complications and risk factors. *Pediatric Pulmonology*, *56*(6), 1342–1356. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.25344

Stein, R. E., Hurlburt, M. S., Heneghan, A. M., Zhang, J., Rolls-Reutz, J., Silver, E. J., Fisher, E., Landsverk, J., & Horwitz, S. M. (2013). Chronic conditions among children investigated by child welfare: A national sample. *Pediatrics*, 131(3), 455–462. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-1774

US General Accounting Office. (1995). Foster Care: Health Needs of Many Young Children Are Unknown and Unmet. https://www.gao.gov/products/hehs-95-11

Authors



Mary V. Greiner, MD, MS, is a child abuse pediatrician and the medical director of the Comprehensive Health Evaluations for Cincinnati's Kids (CHECK) Foster Care Center at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. The CHECK Center provides comprehensive assessments of overall functioning for almost one thousand foster youth each year at the time of entry into foster care and with each placement change. Dr. Greiner has used her work with the CHECK Center to inform the study of issues related to health disparities for youth in foster care, including piloting and studying interventions to address identified needs for youth in foster care, including traumatic stress prevention, developmental and behavioral evaluations, and the role of data sharing between healthcare systems

and child welfare systems to improve health outcomes. Dr. Greiner is a Professor of Pediatrics in the Department of Pediatrics at the University of Cincinnati College of Medicine and serves on the Executive Committee of the American Academy of Pediatrics' Council on Foster Care, Adoption, and Kinship Care. https://www.cincinnatichildrens.org/bio/g/mary-greiner (last visited August 1, 2022); @CHECKDr (Twitter), mary.greiner@cchmc.org (email).



Alex Duncan, B.S., completed her degree in health and wellness with a minor in psychology at Mount St. Joseph University in Ohio and worked in the Child Welfare Research Lab at Cincinnati Children's Hospital as a clinical research coordinator II from 2019-2020.



Katie Nause, BS is a clinical research coordinator IV at Cincinnati Children's. She completed her degree in Psychology at Northern Kentucky University in 2013 and has worked in the Child Welfare Research Lab since 2014. Katie has provided data management and analyses for several research projects with the team and is proficient in multiple data management and statistical analysis platforms.



Sarah J. Beal, Ph.D., is an Associate Professor in the Division of Behavioral Medicine and Clinical Psychology at Cincinnati Children's Hospital and the Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, and has served as scientific director of child welfare research for the Comprehensive Health Evaluations for Cincinnati's Kids (CHECK) Foster Care Center at Cincinnati Children's Hospital since 2018. She has received multiple federal grants to examine the impact of child welfare involvement and healthcare delivery on children's health, development, and wellbeing. https://www.cincinnatichildrens.org/ bio/b/sarah-beal (last visited August 1, 2022); @sarahbealphd (Twitter), sarah.beal@cchmc.org (email).

Table 1 - Demographics of Study Participants (N = 390)

Veriable	NA/CD\/NI/0/\
Variable	M(SD)/N(%)
Age M (SD)	9.0 (6.0) Range: 0.02-20.20 years
Gender	years
Male N (%)	180 (46%)
Female N (%)	206 (53%)
Transgender N (%)	2 (0.5%)
Non-binary N (%)	2 (0.5%)
• • • •	2 (0.3%)
Race and Ethnicity	139 (35%)
White, Non-Hispanic N (%) BIPOC N (%)	138 (35%)
, ,	252 (65%)
Episodes M(SD) Placements M(SD)	1.24 (0.60) Range: 1-6
,	2.44 (2.25) Range: 1-14
Placement type at enrollment	102 (50%)
Licensed foster home N (%)	193 (50%)
Kinship N (%)	128 (33%)
Group home N (%)	32 (8%)
Independent living N (%)	37 (9%)
Placement history N (%)	200 (070/)
Ever in licensed foster home N (%)	260 (67%)
Ever in kinship N (%)	180 (46%)
Ever in group home N (%)	59 (15%)
Ever in independent living N (%)	36 (9%)
Maltreatment history	000 (070()
Physical abuse/Exposure to DV N (%)	260 (67%)
Sexual Abuse N (%)	38 (10%)
Emotional abuse N (%)	19 (5%)
Dependency N (%)	255 (65%)
Child behavior problems N (%)	42 (11%)
Unknown maltreatment history N (%)	3 (0.8%)
Legal status	
Permanent N (%)	66 (17%)
Temporary N (%)	311 (80%)
Unknown N (%)	13 (3%)

Table 2 – COVID-19 PCR Results

		Negative PCR			Positive PCR	
	All	Asymptomatic Tests	Symptomatic Tests	All	Asymptomatic Tests	Symptomatic Tests
Tests (N)	632	397	219	16	9	10
Age M (SD)	9.49 (6.16) Range: 0.02-20.20	9.30 (5.96) Range: 0.02-19.71	9.83 (6.51) Range: 0.08-20.20	11.40 (6.07) Range: 0.47-18.93)	10.11 (6.58) Range: 0.47-15.27	12.18 (5.97) Range: 1.89-18.93
Gender						
Male N(%)	283 (46%)	183 (46%)	100 (45%)	7 (44%)	4 (67%)	3 (30%)
Female N(%)	329 (53%)	211 (53%)	118 (54%)	6 (26%)	2 (33%)	2 (70%)
Transgender N(%)	3 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	1 (<1%)	(%0) 0	(%0)0	(%0) 0
Non-binary N(%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.25%)	(%) 0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Race and Ethnicity						
White, Non- Hispanic N (%)	215 (35%)	141 (36%)	74 (34%)	6 (38%)	3 (50%)	3 (30%)
BIPOC N (%)	401 (65%)	256 (64%)	145 (66%)	10 (63%)	3 (50%)	2 (70%)
Episodes of Custody M (SD)	1.26 (0.63) Range: 1-6	1.27 (0.65) Range: 1-6	1.24 (0.59) Range: 1-6	1.38 (0.62)	1.5 (0.84) Range: 1-3	1.30 (0.48) Range: 1-2
				Range: 1-3		
Placements M (SD)	2.72 (4.46) Range: 1-14	2.59 (2.35) Range: 1-14	2.94 (2.64)	2.94 (2.38)	3.17 (2.88) Range: 1-8	2.80 (2.20) Range: 1-8
			Range: 1-11	Range: 1-8		
Visit Type						
Initial Placement Exam N (%)	174 (28%)	157 (39%)	17 (8%)	2 (%)	2 (33%)	(%0) 0
Change of Placement Exam N (%)	188 (31%)	174 (44%)	14 (6%)	2 (%)	2 (33%)	(%0) 0
Comprehensive Follow-up N (%)	77 (12%)	66 (17%)	11 (5%)	2 (%)	2 (33%)	(%0) 0
Community Test N (%)	177 (29%)	I	177 (81%)	10 (63%)	(%0) 0	10 (100%)

Current Placement Type Foster Home N (%)	228 (52%)	199 (50%)	29	4 (25%)	2 (33%)	2 (20%)
Kinship N (%) Group Home N (%)	131 (30%) 39 (9%)	123 (31%) 37 (9%)	2 8	5 (31%) 4 (25%)	2 (33%) 2 (33%)	3 (30%)
Independent Living N (%)	41 (9%)	38 (10%)	က	(%0) 0	(%0)0	(%0) 0
Not yet in Custody N (%) Placement history	1	ŀ	ŀ	3 (19%)	(%0) 0	3 (30%)
Ever in licensed foster home N (%)	414 (67%)	277 (70%)	137 (63%)	10 (63%)	3 (50%)	(%02) 2
Ever in kinship N (%)	275 (45%)	178 (45%)	97 (44%)	8 (5%)	3 (50%)	5 (50%)
Ever in group home N (%)	125 (20%)	62 (15%)	63 (29%)	(38%)	2 (33%)	4 (40%)
Ever in independent living N (%)	60 (10%)	35 (9%)	25 (11%)	3 (19%)	(%0)0	3 (30%)
Maltreatment history						
Physical abuse/ Exposure to DV N (%)	410 (67%)	275 (70%)	135 (62%)	10 (63%)	3 (50%)	7 (70%)
Sexual Abuse N (%)	65 (11%)	42 (10%)	23 (10%)	2 (13%)	(%0) 0	1 (10%)
Emotional abuse N (%)	34 (6%)	19 (2%)	15 (7%)	(%0) 0	5 (83%)	(%0)0
Dependency N (%)	406 (66%)	261 (66%)	145 (66%)	6 (56%)	(%0) 0	4 (40%)
Child behavior problems N (%)	93 (15%)	48 (12%)	45 (21%)	(%0) 0	0 (%0)	(%0)0
Unknown maltreatment history N (%)	4 (1%)	(%0)	4 (2%)	2 (13%)	(%0) 0	2 (20%)

Table 3 – Youth with Negative and Positive COVID-19 Serology Tests

	Negative Serology	Positive Serology
Subjects	165 (80%)	42 (20%)
Age M (SD)	8.67 (5.69); Range: 0.29- 20.20	10.89 (5.63); Range: 0.76- 18.62
Gender		
Male N (%)	76 (46%)	20 (48%)
Female N (%)	87 (52%)	21 (50%)
Transgender N (%)	1 (<1%)	1 (2%)
Non-binary N (%)	1 (<1%)	0 (0%)
Race and Ethnicity		
White, Non-Hispanic N (%)	70 (42%)	13 (31%)
BIPOC N (%)	95 (58%)	29 (69%)
Episodes M(SD)	1.21 (0.52); Range: 1-4	1.31 (0.72); Range: 1-4
Placements M(SD)	1.86 (1.64); Range: 1-9	2.76 (2.42); Range: 1-11
Visit Type		
Initial Placement Exam N (%)	106 (64%)	27 (64%)
Change of Placement Exam N (%)	36 (22%)	10 (24%)
Comprehensive Follow-up N (%)	23 (14%)	5 (12%)
Current Placement Type		
Foster Home N (%)	75 (45%)	17 (40%)
Kinship N (%)	66 (40%)	14 (33%)
Group Home N (%)	8 (5%)	6 (14%)
Independent Living N (%)	16 (10%)	5 (12%)
Placement history		
Ever in licensed foster home N (%)	98 (59%)	21 (50%)
Ever in kinship N (%)	78 (47%)	16 (38%)
Ever in group home N (%)	16 (10%)	9 (21%)
Ever in independent living N (%)	16 (10%)	4 (10%)
Maltreatment history		
Physical abuse/Exposure to DV N (%)	116 (70%)	28 (67%)
Sexual Abuse N (%)	7 (4%)	8 (19%)
Emotional abuse N (%)	5 (3%)	2 (5%)
Dependency N (%)	98 (59%)	25 (60%)
Child behavior problems N (%)	11 (7%)	6 (14%)
Unknown maltreatment history N (%)	2 (1%)	1 (2%)